Trends in Annual and Seasonal Pan Evaporation in the Lower Yellow River Basin from 1961 to 2010
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Abstract

The annual and seasonal trends in pan evaporation in the lower Yellow River Basin based on quality-controlled data from 10 meteorological stations in 1961–2010 are analyzed. The causes for the changes in annual and seasonal pan evaporation are also discussed. The results suggest that, despite the 1.15°C increasing in annual mean surface air temperature over the past 50 years (0.23°C per decade), the annual pan evaporation has steadily declined by an average rate of ~7.65 mm per year. By comparison, this change is greater than those previously reported in China. Significant decreasing trends in annual pan evaporation have been observed at almost all stations. As a whole, seasonal pan evaporation decreased significantly, especially in summer, whereas seasonal temperature increased significantly, except in summer. Thus, the pan evaporation paradox exists in the lower Yellow River Basin. The trend analysis of other meteorological factors indicates significant decrease in sunshine duration and wind speed, but no significant variations in precipitation and relative humidity at annual and seasonal time scales. By examining the relationship between precipitation and pan evaporation, it did not show a concurrent decrease in pan evaporation and increase in precipitation. The partial correlation analysis discovered that the primary cause of decrease in annual and seasonal pan evaporation is the decrease in wind speed. A further examination using a stepwise regression shows that decrease in wind speed and sunshine duration, and increase in mean temperature are likely to be the main meteorological factors affecting the annual and seasonal pan evaporation in the lower Yellow River Basin over the past 50 years.
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1 Introduction

It is believed that an increase in pan evaporation is one of the expected consequences of global warming. However, many observations across the world presented a significant rise in temperature but a significant decline in pan evaporation, which is known as the pan evaporation paradox. With increasing concerns of global warming, trends in pan evaporation have been investigated across the world over different climate regions resulting in diverse conclusions, which showed that decreasing and increasing trends in pan evaporation are coexisting. Increasing trends have been reported in Israel’s central coastal plain [Cohen et al., 2002], northeast of Brazil [Vicente and Rodrigues, 2004], and the Liaoh Delta in Northeast China [Ji and Zhou, 2011]. However, Many observations showed that measured pan evaporation has decreased over the past several decades in many countries, such as the USA and the former Soviet Union [Peterson et al., 1995; Golubev et al., 2001], Australia [Roderick and Farquhar, 2004], Japan [Jun et al., 2004], Thailand
evaporation paradox. Up to date, this puzzling phe-
nomenon has drawn great attention of many scientists 
over the last half century [Jhaiharia et al., 2009, Italy [Moonen et al., 2002], New Zealand 
[Roderick and Farquhar, 2005], and China [Qiu et al., 2003; Liu et al., 2010; Liu et al., 2011; Shen et al., 2010; Yang and Yang, 2012]. These trends are oppo-
site to the expectation that the global warming will be 
accompanied by an increase in terrestrial evaporation, which is hypothesized to be related to rising temper-

tature [Fu et al., 2009]. Paradoxically, the observed 
trends across the world have been steadily decreasing 
over the last half century [Limjirakan and Limsakul, 2012]. This contrary fact between expected and ob-
erved trends of pan evaporation is known as the pan 
evaporation paradox. Up to date, this puzzling phe-
nomenon has drawn great attention of many scientists 
to identify what meteorological factors have caused 
the observed decreasing trends despite the increase in 
temperature [Roderick et al., 2007; Cong et al., 2009; McVicar et al., 2012; Yang and Yang, 2012]. It has 
been reported that the decrease in observed pan evapo-
nation is not determined only by temperature [Ohmura 
and Wild, 2002; Limjirakan and Limsakul, 2012]. Re-
cent studies have demonstrated major potential causes 
of the decrease in pan evaporation, which included the 
widespread decrease in solar radiation and wind speed 
[Jhaiharia et al., 2009; Roderick et al., 2007; Cong et al., 2009; Liu et al., 2010; Limjirakan and Limsakul, 2012; McVicar et al., 2012]. It could be concluded 
that the magnitude of the trends in pan evaporation 
and the determining factors vary greatly in different 
regions. Therefore, an additional analysis of existing 
pan evaporation data in different regions especially 
small scale region is undoubtedly important to bet-
ter understand the trends in pan evaporation under 
global warming.

The Yellow River is the second largest river in 
China, and of great significance to the economy of 
the region and the whole nation. The lower Yellow 
River Basin is surrounded by the North China Plain 
in the north and west, by hills in the south, and by the 
Shandong Peninsula in the east. The regional 
climate is highly dependent on the surrounding cli-

te systems from both high and low latitudes, being 
regarded as part of the warm temperate zone with 
semi-arid to semi-humid monsoon climate. The lower 
Yellow River turned into a hanging river due to the 
slowing of the streamflow and the depositing of sed-
iment [Cao et al., 2005]. Because of the changes in 
land-use and the warming climate, the runoff into the 
river has decreased [Yang et al., 2000; Xu and Zhang, 
2006]. Meanwhile, agricultural and industrial water 
consumption has more than doubled with the devel-

opment of the economy and society. The streamflow 
has reduced so much that no-flow and nearly no-flow 
events occur frequently [Yang et al., 2000; Xu and 
Zhang, 2006]. Water availability in the lower Yellow 
River Basin is one of the most important factors deter-


ding the crop productivity (e.g., winter wheat) and 
local hydrological cycle of the whole region. The lower 
Yellow River Basin, as part of the Yellow River water 
irrigation district, often suffered droughts during the 
past several decades which regularly devastated the 
agricultural activities. Pan evaporation is one of the 
most important climatic parameters in the hydrolog-


cal cycle, and is often applied to estimate terrestrial 
evaporation and water requirements. Influenced by 
changes in environmental conditions, changes in pan 
evaporation are affecting the balances of water and 
energy budget. Changes in annual and seasonal pan 
evaporation are of great significance in water resource 
planning, in estimating crop water requirements for 
irrigation, and in forecasting agricultural production 
[Jhaiharia et al., 2009; Lowe et al., 2009; Wang et al., 
2009]. The objectives of this study are to discover the 
trends in pan evaporation in the lower Yellow River 
Basin and to identify the meteorological factors (tem-


perature, humidity, wind speed, sunshine duration, 
and precipitation) which may cause the changes in pan 
evaporation. This will help to better understand the 
responses of pan evaporation to climate change and to 
provide suitable water regulations.

2 Study area and methods

2.1 Study area

The study area is located in the lower Yellow 
River Basin (113°32′–119°03′E, 34°50′–37°56′N) in 
eastern China, which covers an area of approximately 
23,000 km² and takes up about 3% of the whole Yellow
River Basin (Fig. 1). The lower Yellow River Basin mainly consists of homogeneous alluvial plains, and most of it has an elevation of less than 100 m. The climate is temperate continental monsoon climate with the annual mean temperature of 13.6°C. The precipitation mainly occurs in summer with a mean annual precipitation of 639 mm. Throughout the lower Yellow River Basin, the annual pan evaporation ranges from 1,600 to 2,000 mm; the annual wind speed varies from 1.8 to 2.8 m s\(^{-1}\); the annual sunshine duration varies from 2,100 to 2,600 h; the annual relative humidity ranges from 62% to 70%.

The meteorological data of 10 stations were collected by the Henan Climate Center and the Shandong Climate Center. The 10 sites have the same record length of the various meteorological parameters from 1961 to 2010. The location details of the selected 10 sites for this research are given in Table 1.

### Table 1 Details of the 10 meteorological stations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Latitude (N)</th>
<th>Longitude (E)</th>
<th>Altitude above sea level pressure (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Binzhou</td>
<td>37° 21'</td>
<td>118° 00'</td>
<td>12.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Changyuan</td>
<td>35° 12'</td>
<td>114° 39'</td>
<td>61.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dongping</td>
<td>35° 55'</td>
<td>116° 24'</td>
<td>44.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fengqiu</td>
<td>35° 01'</td>
<td>114° 25'</td>
<td>69.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laiwu</td>
<td>36° 13'</td>
<td>117° 40'</td>
<td>229.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pingyin</td>
<td>36° 15'</td>
<td>116° 25'</td>
<td>79.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Puyang</td>
<td>35° 42'</td>
<td>115° 01'</td>
<td>53.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tai’an</td>
<td>36° 10'</td>
<td>117° 09'</td>
<td>128.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xintai</td>
<td>35° 52'</td>
<td>117° 46'</td>
<td>224.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yuanyang</td>
<td>35° 02'</td>
<td>113° 57'</td>
<td>76.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Figure 1 Spatial location of (a) the lower Yellow River Basin, and (b) the meteorological stations

#### 2.2 Data

The original observations of the 10 meteorological stations in the lower Yellow River Basin include daily pan evaporation, precipitation, sunshine duration, mean temperature, relative humidity, and mean wind speed from 1961 to 2010. The data of pan evaporation is measured with a standard evaporation pan of 20 cm diameters which has been adopted since 1961. The pan evaporation is measured based on the water balance. The amount of daily pan evaporation is calculated by the following steps: water is filled into the pan at 20:00 Beijing local time, and after 24 h the remaining water in the pan is measured. The actual water loss is measured as daily pan evaporation. Precipitation is simultaneously observed during the past 24 h. If there is precipitation, it will be added into the evaporation measurement. Daily mean wind speed is recorded by an automatic anemoscope 10 m above ground. Daily relative humidity, defined as the ratio of the actual to the saturated vapor pressure, is recorded by an automatic hygrometer 1.5 m above ground. The daily sunshine duration are defined as the hours when the solar radiant intensity exceeds 120 W m\(^{-2}\), and is measured by an automatic sunshine recorder. The annual or seasonal mean temperature, wind speed, and relative humidity are the average of the daily values.

#### 2.3 Method

For the four seasons, spring is defined as March to May, summer as June to August, autumn as September to November, and winter as December to Febru-
ary in next year. Trends in annual and seasonal pan evaporation and other meteorological factors are determined through the linear regression, a commonly used parametric method. The statistical significance is expressed by using the $t$-test.

Partial correlation and stepwise regression analysis between annual or seasonal pan evaporation and other meteorological factors are adopted to detect important factors which can be linked to the variation in pan evaporation. The annual and seasonal pan evaporation for all 10 stations along with annual and seasonal values of other meteorological factors are directly integrated into the calculation of the partial correlation and stepwise regression analysis by using the SPSS [Norusis, 1988], a commercial software for statistics analysis.

3 Results

3.1 Trends in annual and seasonal pan evaporation

The average annual pan evaporation ranges from 1,621.4 mm for Changyuan to 2,017.0 mm for Pingyin (1961–2010 mean). In Figure 2, decreasing trends in annual pan evaporation of the 10 meteorological stations from 1961 to 2010 are observed. The lines and the related equations in the figures indicate the direction and significance of the trends in annual pan evaporation. Interestingly, statistically significant decreasing trends in annual pan evaporation are found for 7 out of 10 meteorological stations at the 95% confidence level (Binzhou, Changyuan, Dongping, Fengqiu, Pingyin, Puyang, and Yuanyang). The magnitude of the decreasing trends in annual pan evaporation varied from $-13.2$ mm per year for Yuanyang to $-6.6$ mm per year for Binzhou. The remaining 3 meteorological stations, all located in Shandong province (Laiwu, Xintai and Tai’an), display no statistically significant annual trends. When averaged over all 10 meteorological stations, the trend in annual pan evaporation shows a significant decreasing at a rate of $-7.65$ mm per year from 1961 to 2010 (Fig. 3). The trends in regional-mean seasonal pan evaporation are calculated as averages of the 10 meteorological stations. The regional-mean
seasonal pan evaporation display statistically significant decreasing trends (Fig. 4). Among the four seasons, the decreasing rate in summer (−3.7 mm per year) is the greatest. The trend in pan evaporation is lowest in winter and highest in both summer and spring (Fig. 4).

Liu et al. [2010] suggested that there were both decreasing and increasing trends in pan evaporation in the Yellow River Basin. However, the percentage of stations with decreasing trends is more than 70%, and the average trend of the whole basin is −3.2 mm per year during 1959−2000. We did not discover any increasing trend at the 10 stations. The magnitude of the decreasing trend in the lower Yellow River Basin is larger than for the whole Yellow River Basin, and also higher than the trend of −3.1 mm per year for whole China reported by Yang and Yang [2012].

Figure 3 Variations in annual pan evaporation averaged over the lower Yellow River Basin from 1961 to 2010

Figure 4 Variations in seasonal pan evaporation averaged over the lower Yellow River Basin from 1961 to 2010

### 3.2 Trends in annual and seasonal mean temperature, precipitation, sunshine duration, relative humidity, and wind speed

Trends in annual mean temperature, precipitation, sunshine duration, relative humidity, and wind speed for the 10 stations are shown in Table 2. The characteristics of meteorological factors in this region are spatially homogeneous and show widespread significant warming trends, which support the general aspects of increase in mean temperature in China as reported by Yang and Yang [2012]. At almost all sites (9 out of 10), the annual mean temperature showed significant increasing trends at 95% confidence level or higher. Overall, the mean temperature in this region increased significantly at the rate of 0.23°C per decade (Fig. 5). Therefore, we conclude that the pan evaporation paradox also exists in the lower Yellow River Basin.

Further results from the available meteorological data reveal significant decrease in annual sunshine duration and annual wind speed for the past 50 years. Note that all stations show a significant decline in annual sunshine duration and annual wind speed, except for Tai’an. The region-averaged annual sunshine duration and annual wind speed decreased significantly at the rate of 97.5 h per decade and 0.27 m s$^{-1}$ per decade, respectively (Fig. 5).

The annual mean relative humidity shows statistically significant decreasing trend only in Dongping. A significant increase is found in Yuanyang (Table 2). Trends in annual precipitation and relative humidity averaged over all stations show no significance (Fig. 5). The annual mean temperature at the 10 stations across the study area suggests an opposite trend as pan evaporation, while sunshine duration and wind speed present a coherent trend with pan evaporation.

In Table 3, the trends in seasonal time series are listed for mean temperature, precipitation, sunshine duration, relative humidity, and wind speed. Significant increasing trends in mean temperature are observed, except in summer, with the highest in winter. Trends calculated for seasonal precipitation and relative humidity are not significant in all seasons. Seasonal sunshine duration and wind speed decreased significantly in all of the four seasons. The trend in
Table 2  Trends in annual meteorological factors for each meteorological station from 1961 to 2010

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Station</th>
<th>Temperature (°C per decade)</th>
<th>Precipitation (mm per decade)</th>
<th>Sunshine duration (h per decade)</th>
<th>Relative humidity (% per decade)</th>
<th>Wind speed (m s(^{-1}) per decade)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Binzhou</td>
<td>0.25**</td>
<td>−10.4</td>
<td>−118.7**</td>
<td>−0.42</td>
<td>−0.17**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Changyuan</td>
<td>0.23**</td>
<td>−8.3</td>
<td>−134.6**</td>
<td>−0.43</td>
<td>−0.41**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dongping</td>
<td>0.31**</td>
<td>−9.1</td>
<td>−31.7**</td>
<td>−1.01**</td>
<td>−0.24**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fengqiu</td>
<td>0.13*</td>
<td>−8.2</td>
<td>−103.7**</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>−0.49**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laiwu</td>
<td>0.28**</td>
<td>−7.1</td>
<td>−110.1**</td>
<td>−0.39</td>
<td>−0.14**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pingyin</td>
<td>0.28**</td>
<td>−14.8</td>
<td>−58.5*</td>
<td>−0.32</td>
<td>−0.23**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Puyang</td>
<td>0.14**</td>
<td>−5.0</td>
<td>−144.7**</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>−0.37**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tai’an</td>
<td>0.23**</td>
<td>−9.3</td>
<td>−76.7**</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>−0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xintai</td>
<td>0.39**</td>
<td>−1.3</td>
<td>−88.1**</td>
<td>−0.37</td>
<td>−0.16**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yuanyang</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>−107.9**</td>
<td>0.94**</td>
<td>−0.48**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: * and ** denote statistically significant at the 95% and 99% confidence level, respectively.

Figure 5  Variations in annual meteorological factors averaged over the lower Yellow River Basin during 1961–2010
Table 3 Trends in seasonal meteorological factors averaged over the lower Yellow River Basin during 1961–2010

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Season</th>
<th>Temperature (°C per decade)</th>
<th>Precipitation (mm per decade)</th>
<th>Sunshine duration (h per decade)</th>
<th>Relative humidity (% per decade)</th>
<th>Wind speed (m s(^{-1}) per decade)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spring</td>
<td>0.26**</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>−13.1*</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>−0.32**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summer</td>
<td>−0.01</td>
<td>−0.1</td>
<td>−41.3**</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>−0.22**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autumn</td>
<td>0.20**</td>
<td>−7.9</td>
<td>−22.9**</td>
<td>−0.64</td>
<td>−0.24**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winter</td>
<td>0.50**</td>
<td>−0.1</td>
<td>−24.0**</td>
<td>−0.42</td>
<td>−0.31**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The same as Table 2

sunshine duration is the highest in summer at a rate of −41.3 h per decade. The trend in wind speed is the highest in spring at a rate of −0.32 m s\(^{-1}\) per decade. For the seasonal variations, there are rise in temperature and decline in pan evaporation except in the summer season, and the coherent trends in sunshine duration, wind speed, and pan evaporation.

Yang and Yang [2012] detected a warming trend of 0.27°C per decade for 54 stations across China from 1961 to 2001, which is close to our result (0.23°C per decade). However, it is greater than the global average increase (0.13°C per decade) [IPCC, 2007]. Thus, the annual mean temperature in this area shows a strong increase. We detected a −0.27 m s\(^{-1}\) per decade trend in wind speed at 10 stations from 1961 to 2010, which is greater than those reported in previous studies, such as −0.20 m s\(^{-1}\) per decade by Xu et al. [2006], −0.18 m s\(^{-1}\) per decade by Guo et al. [2011] and −0.15 m s\(^{-1}\) per decade by Yang and Yang [2012].

3.3 Influence of meteorological factors on pan evaporation

In order to identify the dominant meteorological factors associated with annual and seasonal changes in pan evaporation and their contributions, the partial correlation and the stepwise regression methods are applied. The partial correlation coefficients (Table 4) reveal that the largest statistical correlation is found between wind speed and pan evaporation, except in summer, with the greatest partial correlation coefficient of 0.631 for annual time series, 0.727 for spring, 0.554 for autumn, and 0.689 for winter. This is followed by the second greatest statistical correlation between relative humidity and pan evaporation, then, sunshine duration or mean temperature. However, no statistical correlations are discovered between precipitation and pan evaporation, except in autumn. Thus, it is concluded that wind speed (for annual, spring, autumn, and winter) and relative humidity (for summer) are the most important meteorological factors relating to the trends in pan evaporation. Changes in relative humidity are minor, which may suggest that changes in relative humidity are generally too small to affect pan evaporation. Wind speed decreased obviously at annual and seasonal time scales, thus, it became the dominant factor influencing the trends in pan evaporation. In this study, the effects of sunshine duration and wind speed on pan evaporation at annual and seasonal time scales are positive.

A further examination with the stepwise regression is performed, to evaluate the relative importance of independent meteorological factor (mean temperature, precipitation, sunshine duration, relative humidity, and wind speed) on annual and seasonal trends in pan evaporation. A comparison of the standardized coefficients is provided in Table 5. For annual, spring, and winter, wind speed appears to be the most impor-

Table 4 Partial correlations between annual pan evaporation and other annual meteorological factors from 1961 to 2010

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Control parameter</th>
<th>Annual</th>
<th>Spring</th>
<th>Summer</th>
<th>Autumn</th>
<th>Winter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T, S, W, RH</td>
<td>0.228**</td>
<td>0.287**</td>
<td>0.226**</td>
<td>0.295**</td>
<td>0.470**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T, S, W, RH</td>
<td>−0.086</td>
<td>−0.029</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>−0.152**</td>
<td>−0.060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T, P, W, RH</td>
<td>0.297**</td>
<td>0.207**</td>
<td>0.389**</td>
<td>0.369**</td>
<td>0.096*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T, P, S, RH</td>
<td>0.631**</td>
<td>0.727**</td>
<td>0.469**</td>
<td>0.554**</td>
<td>0.689**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T, P, S, W</td>
<td>−0.459**</td>
<td>−0.582**</td>
<td>−0.534**</td>
<td>−0.519**</td>
<td>−0.507**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: T, P, S, RH, and W denote mean temperature, precipitation, sunshine duration, relative humidity, and wind speed, respectively. * and ** denote statistically significant at the 95% and 99% confidence level, respectively.
Table 5 Results of the stepwise regression

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time scale</th>
<th>Factor entered</th>
<th>Standardized coefficients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>0.543</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RH</td>
<td>-0.380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>0.272</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T</td>
<td>0.195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>0.551</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RH</td>
<td>-0.530</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>0.146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T</td>
<td>0.157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summer</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>0.320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RH</td>
<td>-0.421</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>0.271</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T</td>
<td>0.140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autumn</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>0.410</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RH</td>
<td>-0.469</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>0.290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T</td>
<td>0.188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
<td>-0.106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winter</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>0.572</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RH</td>
<td>-0.537</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>0.083</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T</td>
<td>0.357</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: T, P, S, RH, and W are same as Table 4

The pan evaporation, influenced by water and energy conditions, which are combined effects of different meteorological factors. The linear trends in annual and seasonal pan evaporation and other related meteorological factor were evaluated by linear regression, and the causes for the changes in annual and seasonal pan evaporation were discussed for the Lower Yellow River Basin during 1961–2010. The results suggest a broad general pattern of decreasing trends in pan evaporation in the Lower Yellow River Basin over the past 50 years at both annual and seasonal time scales. The pan evaporation paradox does exist in this region. Significant increasing trends in mean temperature were detected at annual and seasonal time scales, except for summer. Statistically significant downward trends in sunshine duration and wind speed have been discovered at annual and seasonal time scales. However, no statistically significant trends in annual and seasonal precipitation and relative humidity were observed. The concurrent occurrence of decrease in pan evaporation and increase in precipitation has not been discovered. Based on partial correlation and the stepwise regression analysis to find the causing mechanisms of annual and seasonal changes in pan evaporation, among all five meteorological factors, the decrease in wind speed appeared to be the dominant meteorological factor related to the decrease in pan evaporation in this region. Above all, changes in annual and seasonal pan evaporation are comprehensively impacted by the three climatic factors. Liu et al. [2010] suggested that the changes in wind speed contributed to a larger magnitude of the changes in pan evaporation in the Yellow River Basin. Yin et al. [2010], Shen et al. [2010], and Yang and Yang [2012] revealed that the primary causes are decreasing wind speed in North China. Their results are consistent with our results that the main causes of the declining pan evaporation are the decreasing in wind speed. Xu et al. [2007] argued that the decreasing in pan evaporation in the Yellow River Basin resulted from complex changes in air temperature, relative humidity, solar radiation, and wind speed, which is similar to our results from the stepwise regression in the lower Yellow River Basin.

4 Conclusions

The pan evaporation, influenced by water and energy conditions, which are combined effects of different meteorological factors. The linear trends in annual and seasonal pan evaporation and other related meteorological factor were evaluated by linear regression, and the causes for the changes in annual and seasonal pan evaporation were discussed for the Lower Yellow River Basin during 1961–2010. The results suggest a broad general pattern of decreasing trends in pan evaporation in the Lower Yellow River Basin over the past 50 years at both annual and seasonal time scales. The pan evaporation paradox does exist in this region. Significant increasing trends in mean temperature were detected at annual and seasonal time scales, except for summer. Statistically significant downward trends in sunshine duration and wind speed have been discovered at annual and seasonal time scales. However, no statistically significant trends in annual and seasonal precipitation and relative humidity were observed. The concurrent occurrence of decrease in pan evaporation and increase in precipitation has not been discovered. Based on partial correlation and the stepwise regression analysis to find the causing mechanisms of annual and seasonal changes in pan evaporation, among all five meteorological factors, the decrease in wind speed appeared to be the dominant meteorological factor related to the decrease in pan evaporation in this region. Above all, changes in annual and seasonal pan evaporation are comprehensively impacted by the three climatic factors. Liu et al. [2010] suggested that the changes in wind speed contributed to a larger magnitude of the changes in pan evaporation in the Yellow River Basin. Yin et al. [2010], Shen et al. [2010], and Yang and Yang [2012] revealed that the primary causes are decreasing wind speed in North China. Their results are consistent with our results that the main causes of the declining pan evaporation are the decreasing in wind speed. Xu et al. [2007] argued that the decreasing in pan evaporation in the Yellow River Basin resulted from complex changes in air temperature, relative humidity, solar radiation, and wind speed, which is similar to our results from the stepwise regression in the lower Yellow River Basin.
evaporation. The combined effects of decreasing in wind speed and sunshine duration, and increasing in mean temperature, are the main causes for the decrease in pan evaporation in the lower Yellow River Basin over the past 50 years. This study discovered the causes of the declining in pan evaporation, which is vital for the lower Yellow River Basin’s hydrological cycle and water management under the background of global change.
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